to provide Plaintiff an opportunity to exhaust his administrative remedies prior to initial lawsuit. (ECF No. 5 at 2). The Clerk of the Court entered judgment that same day. (ECF No. 7). The Court denied motion for discovery as moot because this case is closed. II. CONCLUSION		Case 2:15-cv-00636-LDG-PAL Document 8 Filed 05/26/15 Page 1 of 1
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA FRED ZINSER, Plaintiff, V. 2:15-cv-636-LDG-PAL DANIEL DAWSON et al., Defendants. I. DISCUSSION On April 27, 2015, this Court entered an order dismissing this case without prej to provide Plaintiff an opportunity to exhaust his administrative remedies prior to initia lawsuit. (ECF No. 5 at 2). The Clerk of the Court entered judgment that same day. (EC 6). On May 4, 2015, Plaintiff filed a motion for discovery. (ECF No. 7). The Court denie motion for discovery as moot because this case is closed. II. CONCLUSION For the foregoing reasons, IT IS ORDERED that the motion for discovery (ECF II is denied as moot. DATED: This day day of May, 2015. United States Digital Judges		
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA FRED ZINSER, Plaintiff, V. 2:15-cv-636-LDG-PAL DANIEL DAWSON et al., Defendants. I. DISCUSSION On April 27, 2015, this Court entered an order dismissing this case without prej to provide Plaintiff an opportunity to exhaust his administrative remedies prior to initia lawsuit. (ECF No. 5 at 2). The Clerk of the Court entered judgment that same day. (EC 6). On May 4, 2015, Plaintiff filed a motion for discovery. (ECF No. 7). The Court denient motion for discovery as moot because this case is closed. II. CONCLUSION For the foregoing reasons, IT IS ORDERED that the motion for discovery (ECF III is denied as moot. DATED: This Judgy of May, 2015. United States District Judge		
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA FRED ZINSER, Plaintiff, V. 2:15-cv-636-LDG-PAL DANIEL DAWSON et al., Defendants. I. DISCUSSION On April 27, 2015, this Court entered an order dismissing this case without prej to provide Plaintiff an opportunity to exhaust his administrative remedies prior to initia lawsuit. (ECF No. 5 at 2). The Clerk of the Court entered judgment that same day. (EC 6). On May 4, 2015, Plaintiff filed a motion for discovery. (ECF No. 7). The Court denic motion for discovery as moot because this case is closed. II. CONCLUSION For the foregoing reasons, IT IS ORDERED that the motion for discovery (ECF I is denied as moot. DATED: This Lay of May, 2015. United States Diamet Judge		
DISTRICT OF NEVADA FRED ZINSER, Plaintiff, V. DANIEL DAWSON et al., Defendants. I. DISCUSSION On April 27, 2015, this Court entered an order dismissing this case without prej to provide Plaintiff an opportunity to exhaust his administrative remedies prior to initia lawsuit. (ECF No. 5 at 2). The Clerk of the Court entered judgment that same day. (EC 6). On May 4, 2015, Plaintiff filed a motion for discovery. (ECF No. 7). The Court denies motion for discovery as moot because this case is closed. II. CONCLUSION For the foregoing reasons, IT IS ORDERED that the motion for discovery (ECF No. 7) is denied as moot. DATED: This day of May, 2015. United States District Judge		
FRED ZINSER, Plaintiff, V. DANIEL DAWSON et al., Defendants. I. DISCUSSION On April 27, 2015, this Court entered an order dismissing this case without prej to provide Plaintiff an opportunity to exhaust his administrative remedies prior to initia lawsuit. (ECF No. 5 at 2). The Clerk of the Court entered judgment that same day. (ECF 6). On May 4, 2015, Plaintiff filed a motion for discovery. (ECF No. 7). The Court denier motion for discovery as moot because this case is closed. II. CONCLUSION For the foregoing reasons, IT IS ORDERED that the motion for discovery (ECF No. 7) is denied as moot. DATED: This Aday of May, 2015. United States District Judge		LINITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FRED ZINSER, Plaintiff, V. 2:15-cv-636-LDG-PAL DANIEL DAWSON et al., Defendants. I. DISCUSSION On April 27, 2015, this Court entered an order dismissing this case without prej to provide Plaintiff an opportunity to exhaust his administrative remedies prior to initia lawsuit. (ECF No. 5 at 2). The Clerk of the Court entered judgment that same day. (EC 6). On May 4, 2015, Plaintiff filed a motion for discovery. (ECF No. 7). The Court denies motion for discovery as moot because this case is closed. II. CONCLUSION For the foregoing reasons, IT IS ORDERED that the motion for discovery (ECF I is denied as moot. DATED: This day of May, 2015. United States Digitals Judge		
Plaintiff, V. 2:15-cv-636-LDG-PAL DANIEL DAWSON et al., ORDER I. DISCUSSION On April 27, 2015, this Court entered an order dismissing this case without prej to provide Plaintiff an opportunity to exhaust his administrative remedies prior to initia lawsuit. (ECF No. 5 at 2). The Clerk of the Court entered judgment that same day. (ECF) 6). On May 4, 2015, Plaintiff filed a motion for discovery. (ECF No. 7). The Court denied motion for discovery as moot because this case is closed. II. CONCLUSION For the foregoing reasons, IT IS ORDERED that the motion for discovery (ECF) is denied as moot. DATED: This Jay day of May, 2015. United States District Judge		
9 v. 2:15-cv-636-LDG-PAL DANIEL DAWSON et al., ORDER 11 Discussion On April 27, 2015, this Court entered an order dismissing this case without prej to provide Plaintiff an opportunity to exhaust his administrative remedies prior to initia lawsuit. (ECF No. 5 at 2). The Clerk of the Court entered judgment that same day. (EC 6). On May 4, 2015, Plaintiff filed a motion for discovery. (ECF No. 7). The Court denie motion for discovery as moot because this case is closed. II. CONCLUSION For the foregoing reasons, IT IS ORDERED that the motion for discovery (ECF I is denied as moot. DATED: This day of May, 2015. United States District Judge)
DANIEL DAWSON et al., Defendants. I. DISCUSSION On April 27, 2015, this Court entered an order dismissing this case without prej to provide Plaintiff an opportunity to exhaust his administrative remedies prior to initia lawsuit. (ECF No. 5 at 2). The Clerk of the Court entered judgment that same day. (EC 6). On May 4, 2015, Plaintiff filed a motion for discovery. (ECF No. 7). The Court denie motion for discovery as moot because this case is closed. II. CONCLUSION For the foregoing reasons, IT IS ORDERED that the motion for discovery (ECF I is denied as moot. DATED: This day of May, 2015. United States Digitate Judge) · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
I. DISCUSSION On April 27, 2015, this Court entered an order dismissing this case without prej to provide Plaintiff an opportunity to exhaust his administrative remedies prior to initia lawsuit. (ECF No. 5 at 2). The Clerk of the Court entered judgment that same day. (ECF No. 7) and the court denied motion for discovery as moot because this case is closed. II. CONCLUSION For the foregoing reasons, IT IS ORDERED that the motion for discovery (ECF No. 7) is denied as moot. DATED: This day of May, 2015. United States District Judge	10	DANIEL DAWSON et al., ORDER
I. DISCUSSION On April 27, 2015, this Court entered an order dismissing this case without prej to provide Plaintiff an opportunity to exhaust his administrative remedies prior to initial lawsuit. (ECF No. 5 at 2). The Clerk of the Court entered judgment that same day. (ECF 6). On May 4, 2015, Plaintiff filed a motion for discovery. (ECF No. 7). The Court denied motion for discovery as moot because this case is closed. II. CONCLUSION For the foregoing reasons, IT IS ORDERED that the motion for discovery (ECF It is denied as moot. DATED: This Judge day of May, 2015. United States Dijutict Judge	11) Defendants.
On April 27, 2015, this Court entered an order dismissing this case without prej to provide Plaintiff an opportunity to exhaust his administrative remedies prior to initial lawsuit. (ECF No. 5 at 2). The Clerk of the Court entered judgment that same day. (ECF No. 7) and the Month of the Court entered judgment that same day. (ECF No. 7) are Court denied motion for discovery as moot because this case is closed. II. CONCLUSION For the foregoing reasons, IT IS ORDERED that the motion for discovery (ECF No. 7) are Court denied is denied as moot.	12)
to provide Plaintiff an opportunity to exhaust his administrative remedies prior to initial lawsuit. (ECF No. 5 at 2). The Clerk of the Court entered judgment that same day. (ECF No. 7). The Court denied motion for discovery as moot because this case is closed. II. CONCLUSION For the foregoing reasons, IT IS ORDERED that the motion for discovery (ECF No. 7) is denied as moot. DATED: This day of May, 2015. United States Dijurict Judge	13	I. DISCUSSION
lawsuit. (ECF No. 5 at 2). The Clerk of the Court entered judgment that same day. (ECF No. 7). The Court denied motion for discovery as moot because this case is closed. II. CONCLUSION For the foregoing reasons, IT IS ORDERED that the motion for discovery (ECF No. 7) is denied as moot. DATED: This Judge day of May, 2015. United States Dijutict Judge	14	On April 27, 2015, this Court entered an order dismissing this case without prejudice
6). On May 4, 2015, Plaintiff filed a motion for discovery. (ECF No. 7). The Court denied motion for discovery as moot because this case is closed. II. CONCLUSION For the foregoing reasons, IT IS ORDERED that the motion for discovery (ECF No. 7) is denied as moot. DATED: This day of May, 2015. United States Digital Judge	15	to provide Plaintiff an opportunity to exhaust his administrative remedies prior to initiating a
motion for discovery as moot because this case is closed. II. CONCLUSION For the foregoing reasons, IT IS ORDERED that the motion for discovery (ECF I is denied as moot. DATED: This day of May, 2015. United States Dijutrict Judge	16	lawsuit. (ECF No. 5 at 2). The Clerk of the Court entered judgment that same day. (ECF No.
II. CONCLUSION For the foregoing reasons, IT IS ORDERED that the motion for discovery (ECF I is denied as moot. DATED: This day of May, 2015. United States District Judge	17	6). On May 4, 2015, Plaintiff filed a motion for discovery. (ECF No. 7). The Court denies the
For the foregoing reasons, IT IS ORDERED that the motion for discovery (ECF is denied as moot. DATED: This day of May, 2015. United States Digital Judge	18	motion for discovery as moot because this case is closed.
21 is denied as moot. 22 23 DATED: This value day of May, 2015. 24 25 United States Digital Judge	19	II. CONCLUSION
DATED: This was day of May, 2015. United States Digital Judge	20	For the foregoing reasons, IT IS ORDERED that the motion for discovery (ECF No. 7
DATED: This day of May, 2015. United States Digtnet Judge	21	is denied as moot.
24 25 United States Digital Judge		
United States Diffrict Judge		DATED: This day of May, 2015.
United States Dight rict Judge/		Manage J.
Δ 0		United States Digital Judge
27 1 28 1		